

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/641,703	Applicant(s) KELM, WARREN
	Examiner Shane Bomar	Art Unit 3672

All Participants:

Status of Application: Abandoned

(1) Shane Bomar.

(3) _____

(2) Joseph Sebolt.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 28 August 2006

Time: 11am

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

I contacted Attorney Sebolt because it has been more than six months since the last Office Action was mailed. Mr. Sebolt's office informed me that the application is being abandoned.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)